

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,975	08/21/2001	Kazuhiro Fujimaki	003510-110	6820
7	590 03/10/2003			
Platon N. Mandros			EXAMINER	
BURNS, DOA P.O. Box 1404	NE, SWECKER & M.	GILLIAM, BARBARA LEE		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	1
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ch				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/932,975	FUJIMAKI ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE CHI	Barbara Gilliam	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Prior</u>	r Art filed 1/31/02					
	s action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>		resecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔯 Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>7</u> .				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/932,975	FUJIMAKI ET AL.				
interview dummary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Barbara Gilliam	1752				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Barbara Gilliam</u> .	(3)					
(2) Robert Mukai.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>26 February 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner phoned Mr. Mukai in an attempt to obtain an oral election to the restriction requirement. Mr. Mukai could not make an immediate election without first presenting the restriction requirement to Applicants.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).						
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's s	gnature, if required				

14

Application/Control Number: 09/932,975

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a heat sensitive material comprising a polymer comprising at least one of three groups represented by formulae (1) to (3)
 (a printing plate comprising this heat sensitive material and the process of making an image on the printing plate), classified in class 430, subclasses 287.1 and 302.
- II. Claims 11-20, drawn to heat sensitive material comprising a polymer comprising at least one of two structural units represented by formulae (4) and (5) (a printing plate comprising this heat sensitive material and the process of making an image on the printing plate), classified in class 430, subclasses 286.1 and 302.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and different functions. In the heat sensitive material of Group I, the crosslinking density in the hardened part is increased and unwanted penetration of developing solution can be effectively suppressed (page 4,

Application/Control Number: 09/932,975

Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

line 21 – page 5, line 13). In the heat sensitive material of Group II, no polymerization inhibition due to oxygen occurs (page 7, lines 8-24).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Robert Mukai on February 26, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/932,975

Art Unit: 1752

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara Gilliam whose telephone number is 703-305-

1330. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM -

6:00 PM.

a. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Janet Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final

communications.

b. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

308-0661.

Bortora Gilliam

Page 4

Barbara Gilliam Examiner

Art Unit 1752

bg

March 6, 2003